

Note

Isolation of 3-*O*-methyl-D-ribose from a *Rhizobium* polysaccharide

LAWRENCE D. KENNEDY

Applied Biochemistry Division, DSIR, Private Bag, Palmerston North (New Zealand)

(Received May 17th, 1976; accepted for publication, May 21st, 1976)

The extracellular polysaccharides produced in pure culture by *Rhizobium* bacteria that are classed as slow-growing, non-acid producers are markedly heterogeneous in composition, in contrast to polysaccharides from those classed as fast-growing, acid producers¹. The slow-growing group includes strains that nodulate soya beans (*Rhizobium japonicum*), the Cowpea group of *Rhizobium*, and slow-growing strains nodulating *Lotus* and *Lupinus* species (*Rhizobium lupini*). An extensive survey¹ of the polysaccharides from slow-growing *Rhizobium* strains, mainly those associated with *Lotus* species, reported the presence of three unidentified sugars. Two of these have been shown² to be 4-*O*-methyl-D-galactose and 4-*O*-methyl-D-glucose; the former sugar has also been isolated³ from the polysaccharides of some strains of *Rh. japonicum*. The identification of 3-*O*-methyl-D-ribose from the extracellular polysaccharide of a Cowpea strain of slow-growing *Rhizobium*, strain CB756, is now reported.

Paper chromatography (p.c.; solvents 1 or 2) of acid hydrolysates of the polysaccharide gave a single aniline hydrogen phosphate-reactive⁴ spot with R_{GLC} greater than that of rhamnose (together with previously reported¹, common components). In solvent 2, the spot, previously designated¹ X_3 , appeared to be homogeneous, gave the colour reaction of a hexose, and had R_{GLC} 1.55 (previously reported¹ as 2.15; the difference is attributed to the use of chromatography paper from a roll instead of sheets). In solvent 1, the leading edge of the spot gave the colour reaction of a pentose, suggesting two components; the “hexose” and “pentose” components had R_{RHA} 1.55 and 1.7 (R_{GLC} 5.1 and 5.6), respectively, but streaked somewhat and could not be sufficiently separated to achieve purification of the individual compounds. For further experiments, the mixture was isolated by preparative p.c. (solvent 1). Analytical p.c. and g.l.c. showed the mixture to be free of other sugars; in particular, ribose was shown to be absent.

After reduction of the mixture with $NaBH_4$ and acetylation of the products with acetic anhydride–pyridine⁵, the resulting mixture of alditol acetates gave, on g.l.c., a single peak with T_{MAN} 0.20 (T_{RHA} 1.0), sometimes with a slight shoulder on the leading edge. Mass spectrometry of the initial portion of the peak gave a clean

spectrum with major fragments at m/e 43, 87, 129, and 189. When NaB^2H_4 was used to reduce the mixture, the major fragments were at m/e 43, 87, 88, 129, 130, 189, and 190, with fragments separated by one unit being of approximately equal intensity. These data show that the sugar emerging first on g.l.c. is a 3-*O*-methylpentose⁶. The later-emerging compound(s) remain(s) unidentified.

Treatment of the sugar mixture with boron tribromide⁷ left the "hexose" component unchanged, but demethylated the *O*-methylpentose to give ribose, identified by p.c. (solvent *I*) and its colour reaction with aniline hydrogen phosphate, and by g.l.c.-m.s. of its alditol acetate. Solvent *I* readily separates the four aldopentoses, and the three pentitol acetates are separated on g.l.c. After preparative p.c. (solvent *I*) of the boron tribromide-treated mixture, ~2 mg of ribose were isolated; no other sugars were present, and crystals formed on desiccation of the solution. The optical rotation of the ribose was negative, which is indicative of the D configuration⁸; with the instrumentation available, there was insufficient material to allow accurate calculation of the specific optical rotation.

The sugar in question is therefore 3-*O*-methyl-D-ribose; to my knowledge, this is the first report of its occurrence as a natural product.

*Rh. lupini*² and *Rh. japonicum*³ have previously been shown to have *O*-methyl sugars in their extracellular polysaccharides. The present work shows that one of the Cowpea group, strain CB756, also has this characteristic. Production of a diverse variety of extracellular polysaccharides, some of them including unusual sugars, is thus emerging more clearly as a characteristic of the slow-growing *Rhizobia*. The contrast¹ with fast-growing strains adds further support to the division of the genus into these two groups.

EXPERIMENTAL

The general methods used were as previously described², except that the reaction time for demethylation with boron tribromide was extended to 6 days. Solvents¹ used for descending p.c. on Whatman No. 1 or (for preparative p.c.) No. 3MM papers were: (1) butyl acetate-pyridine-ethanol-water (8:2:2:1), and (2) ethyl acetate-pyridine-water (12:5:4). Optical rotations were measured with a Bendix NPL type 143 automatic polarimeter. Growth of organisms and isolation of polysaccharide have been described previously¹; for some experiments, the bacteria were grown in a synthetic medium² to exclude the possibility that the 3-*O*-methyl-D-ribose was derived from a component such as the yeast extract.

Rhizobium strain CB756 was from the Divisional collection maintained by R. M. Greenwood.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Mr. R. M. Greenwood for bacteria, Dr. R. W. Bailey for discussion, and Mrs. D. C. Turner for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1 R. W. BAILEY, R. M. GREENWOOD, AND A. CRAIG, *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 65 (1971) 315-324.
- 2 L. D. KENNEDY AND R. W. BAILEY, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 49 (1976) 451-454.
- 3 W. F. DUDMAN, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 46 (1976) 97-110.
- 4 R. W. BAILEY, in R. M. C. DAWSON, D. C. ELLIOTT, W. H. ELLIOTT, AND K. M. JONES (Eds.), *Data for Biochemical Research*, Oxford University Press, London, 2nd edition, 1969, p. 539.
- 5 J. H. SLONEKER, *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.*, 6 (1972) 20-24.
- 6 J. LÖNNGREN AND S. SVENSSON, *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.*, 29 (1974) 41-106.
- 7 T. G. BONNER, E. J. BOURNE, AND S. McNALLY, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1960) 2929-2934.
- 8 R. L. WHISTLER AND J. N. BEMILLER, *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.*, 1 (1962) 81-82.